## RCPNA Logo

February 25, 2020 Draft

City of Portland Attn: Mayor Ted Wheeler & City Commissioners(cctestimony@portlandoregon.gov) 1221 SW Fourth Ave. Portland, OR 97204

Subject: RCPNA Requests Remand of the Residential Infill Project to the Planning and Sustainability Commission for failure to comply with the 2035 Comprehensive Plan

Policy 1.10 of the 2035 Comprehensive Plan (the Plan) requires that amendments to the Plan, or such Plan implementation tools as the Planning and Zoning Code (the Code) and Zoning and Comprehensive Plan Maps (the Maps), must comply with the Plan:

"Ensure that amendments to the Comprehensive Plan's elements, supporting documents, and implementation tools comply with the Comprehensive Plan. 'Comply' means that *amendments must be evaluated against the Comprehensive Plan's applicable goals and policies* and on balance be equally or more supportive of the Comprehensive Plan as a whole than the existing language or designation." *(emphasis added)* 

Land Use Planners Gloria Gardiner and Tamara DeRidder along with other volunteers reviewed the public documents available on-line regarding, or relevant to, the Residential Infill Project (the Project): the adopted 2035 Comprehensive Plan and supporting documents, the Portland Plan, Citywide Systems Plan, Growth Scenarios report, Housing Affordability report, Housing Demand and Supply Projections report, Updates on Key Housing Supply and Affordability Trends report, Household Demand and Supply Projections report, Code Reconciliation Project amendments to Code Title 33 Housing, Zoning and Comprehensive Plan Map amendments, staff report, Potential Amendment Concepts discussed by the City Council (the Council) in January and February 2020, and new state zoning mandates for urban residential development.

The August 2019 Staff Report and Map Amendments documents include findings of compliance with the Plan's five overarching Guiding Principles *(see Staff Report, page 3),* and housing findings *(see Staff report ).* The Staff Report mentions additional policy directions in "Appendix A: Guidance From the Comprehensive Plan." *(Staff Report, p. 3)* The only Appendix A that we found on the Project web site is a "Revised economic analysis on the proposed changes to the single-dwelling zone development standards."

The Plan requires that the proposed Project Code and Map amendments must be evaluated for compliance with <u>all</u> of the relevant Plan Goals and Policies. Based on our review, we believe that *none of the Project's proposed amendments have been evaluated according to many of the relevant Plan Goals and Policies*.

## **Conclusion and Recommendation**

At our RCPNA Special Board meeting on March 3, 2020, we conclude that based on the 2035 Comprehensive Plan Policy 1.10 the proposed Residential Infill Project Plan, Code and Map amendments, which were approved by the Planning and Sustainability Commission (the Commission), and reviewed by the Council, <u>do not comply with the 2035 Plan</u> and <u>must be</u> <u>remanded</u> to the Commission to prepare and re-submit the Project's proposed Plan, Code, and Map amendments, accompanied by a complete 2035 Comprehensive Plan compliance evaluation.

Further, the City Council's suggestion of 6 residential units on 5,000 square feet of Single Dwelling property constitutes a rezone to High-Density Residential R1, Plan Policy 10.1, requiring property notification. Such a change of density in the Plan requires infrastructure analysis based on an updated buildable lands analysis and systems capacity plan.

In order to assist staff and the Commission in this endeavor, the rest of our submission below describes and quotes plan and supporting document text of what we believe are relevant Plan Goals and Policies for this project that have not yet been addressed.

2035	Plan	Supporting	Relevant text
Compre	Policy	document	
hensive			
Plan			
Chapter			
Chapter	1.14		Public facility adequacy. Consider impacts on the existing
1			and future availability and capacity of urban public
Plan			facilities and services when amending Comprehensive
element			Plan elements and implementation tools.
s and			
adminis			
tration			
Chapter			
8			
Public			
facilities			
and			
services			
Goal			
8.A:			
Quality			
public			
facilities			
and			
services			

Goal 8 B·		
Multiala		
bonofito		
Cool		
8.C:		
Reliabilit		
y and		
resilienc		
у У		
Goal		
8.E:		
Sanitary		
and		
stormw		
ater		
systems		
Goal		
8.G:		
Water		
Goal 8.I:		
Pubic		
safety		
and		
emerge		
ncy		
respons		
е		
Public	8.21	System capacity. Establish, improve, and maintain public
investm		facilities and services at levels appropriate to support land
ent		use patterns, densities, and anticipated residential and
		employment growth, as physically feasible and as
		sufficient funds are available.
Public	8.22	Equitable service. Provide public facilities and services to
investm		alleviate service deficiencies and meet level-of-service
ent		standards for all Portlanders
Public	8.23	Asset management. Improve and maintain public facilities
investm		systems using asset management principles to optimize
ent		preventative maintenance, reduce unplanned reactive
		maintenance, achieve scheduled delivery, and protect the
		quality, reliability, and adequacy of City services.
Public	8.24	Risk management. Maintain and improve Portland's
investm		public facilities to minimize or eliminate economic, social,
ent		public health and safety, and environmental risks.

Funding Funding	8.27 8.28	Cost-effectiveness. Establish, improve, and maintain the public facilities necessary to serve designated land uses in ways that cost-effectively provide desired levels of service, consider facilities' lifecycle costs, and maintain the City's long-term financial sustainability.Shared costs. Ensure the costs of constructing and providing public facilities and services are equitably
		shared by those who benefit from the provision of those facilities and services.
Funding	8.29	<b>System development</b> . Require private or public entities whose prospective development or redevelopment actions contribute to the need for facility improvements, extensions, or construction to bear a proportional share of the costs.
Service provisio n and urbaniza tion	8.3	Urban service delivery. Provide the following public facilities and services at urban levels of service to urban lands within the City's boundaries of incorporation:• Pubic rights-of-way, streets, and public trails• Sanitary sewers and wastewater treatment• Stormwater management and conveyance• Flood management• Water supply• Police, fire, and emergency response• Parks, natural areas, and recreation• Solid waste regulation
Service provisio n and urbaniza tion	8.4	Supporting facilities and systems. Maintain supporting facilities and systems to enable the provision of public facilities and services.
Sanitary system	8.61	Sewer connections. Require all developments within the City limits to be connected to sanitary sewers
Sanitary system	8.62	<b>Combined sewer overflows.</b> Provide adequate public facilities to limit combined sewer overflows to frequencies established by regulatory permits.
Sanitary system	8.63	Sanitary sewer overflows. Provide adequate public facilities to prevent sewage releases to surface waters as consistent with regulatory permits.
Sanitary system	8.65	Sewer extensions. Prioritize sewer system extensions to areas that are already developed at urban densities
Sanitary	8.66	Pollution prevention. Reduce the need for wastewater

system		treatment capacity through land use programs and public facility investments
Sanitary	8.67	Treatment. Provide adequate wastewater treatment
system		facilities to ensure compliance with effluent standards
		established in regulatory permits.
Stormw	8.68	Stormwater facilities. Provide adequate stormwater
ater		facilities for conveyance, flow control, and pollution
system		reduction.
Stormw	8.69	Stormwater as a resource. Manage stormwater as a
ater		resource for watershed health and public use in ways that
system		protect and restore the natural hydrology, water quality,
		and habitat of Portland's watersheds.
Stormw	8.70	Natural systems. Protect and enhance the stormwater
ater		management capacity of natural resources such as rivers,
system		streams, creeks, drainageways, wetlands, and floodplains.
Stormw	8.72	Stormwater discharge. Avoid or minimize the impact of
ater		stormwater discharges on the water and habitat quality of
system		rivers and streams.
Stormw	8.73	On-site stormwater management. Encourage on-site
ater		stormwater management through land use decisions
system		and public facility investments.
Stormw	8.74	Pollution prevention. Coordinate policies, programs, and
ater		investments with partners to prevent pollutants from
system		entering the stormwater system
Water	8.85	Water quality. Maintain compliance with state and
systems		federal drinking water quality regulations.
Water	8.86	Storage. Provide sufficient in-city water storage capacity
systems		to serve designated land uses, meet demand fluctuations,
		maintain system pressure, and ensure supply reliability.
Water	8.87	<b>Fire protection.</b> Provide adequate water facilities to serve
systems		the fire protection needs of all Portlanders
Water	8.88	Water pressure. Provide adequate water facilities to
systems		maintain water pressure in order to protect water quality
		and provide for the needs of customers.
Water	8.89	Water efficiency. Reduce the need for additional water
systems		facility capacity and maintain compliance with state water
		resource regulations by encouraging efficient use of water
		by customers within the city.
Water	8.90	Service interruptions. Maintain and improve water
systems		facilities to limit interruptions in water service to
		customers.

Public safety and emerge ncy respons e Public safety and emerge ncy respons e	8.105		Emergency management facilities. Provide adequate public facilities to support emergency management, response, and recovery. Police facilities. Improve and maintain police facilities to allow police personnel to efficiently and effectively respond to public safety needs and serve designated areas.
Public safety and emerge ncy respons e	8.108		<b>Fire facilities.</b> Improve and maintain fire facilities to serve designated land uses, ensure equitable and reliable response, and provide fire and life safety protection that meets or exceeds minimum established service levels.
Public safety and emerge ncy respons e	8.110		<b>Community preparedness.</b> Ensure community preparedness and capacity to prevent, withstand, and recover from emergencies and natural disasters through land use decisions and public facility investments.
Public safety and emerge ncy respons e	8.111		<b>Continuity of operations.</b> Maintain and enhance the City's ability to withstand and recover from natural disasters and human-made disruptions in order to minimize disruptions to public services.
Supporti ng Docume nts and Maps, Public Facilitie s Plans		Citywide Systems Plan, "2035 Comprehen sive Plan Citywide Systems Plan, June 2016" a	<ul> <li>The update of the 1989 Public Facilities Plan, to address changes such as:</li> <li>City and metropolitan area growth</li> <li>Aging infrastructure</li> <li>Service deficiencies</li> <li>New growth focus on centers and corridors</li> <li>Purposes and objectives include:</li> </ul>

support document to the Comprehe nsive Plan, guides infrastruct ure investmen ts to meet the needs of current and future Portlander s	<ul> <li>Serve new residential and employment growth</li> <li>Meet long-term infrastructure needs</li> <li>Provide recommended policies and list of significant projects for the Plan</li> </ul>
	"[E]xisting water, sewer, stormwater systems will serve the majority of current and new residents and businesses' needs over the coming decades, resulting in additional demands on existing infrastructure. The City has a large infrastructure maintenance deficit due largely to the age of many systems, chronic underinvestment in preventative maintenance and capital repair, increasing maintenance costs, and the lack of revenue to allow more sustainable investment. At current funding levels, some of Portland's infrastructure will continue to deteriorate." ( pp. 13-14.)
	"[T]he ability of the City's infrastructure to accommodate growth depends primarily on the City's ability to resolve current deficiencies Major development efforts can overstretch the ability of existing built and natural infrastructure to meet community demands." (p. 14)
	City infrastructure bureaus estimate that the City "needs to invest approximately \$287 million more than current funding levels per year for each of the next 10 years to replace existing aging assets, maintain existing facilities and/or meet service levels This gap will likely grow for each of the next 10 years." ( <i>p. 15.</i> )
	As of 2016 over 80% of the com- bined and sanitary pipes are in good or very good condition. However, "projected investments are not keeping pace with the rapidly aging collection system 69% combined sewer system pipes are in good to very

		good condition, but approximately 10% of pipes are at
		high risk of failure"(p. 100-101)
		"The pumping and treatment systems require regular and
		more frequent capital investment. While pipes have an
		estimated 100-year useful life, mechanical and elec- trical
		components have a useful life that ranges from 20 to 50
		years." <i>(p. 101)</i>
		The combined sewer system and the sanitary sewer
		system " have hydraulic and condition deficiencies that
		impact the ability of these systems to serve existing
		properties at designated service levels Pipe segments
		that are in poor structural condition are widely distributed
		throughout the service area with the exception of outer
		east Portland where the collection system is relatively
		new." <i>(p. 102)</i>
		In 2013, sanitary and stormwater systems had an
		estimated annual capital maintenance funding gap of
		\$12.4 million. <i>(p. 102)</i>
		"Most of the stormwater pipes and sumps in Portland
		have been in place for decades and were sized with
		assumptions about climate and land use that were
		appropriate at they time they were built." Climate change
		impacts could exacerbate stormwater runoff, in-
		crease erosion and sediment in run-off, increase
		combined sewer overflows, and increase water
		temperatures. (p. 104)
		Portland's sewer and stormwater rates "are high by
		regional and national standards Continued public
		acceptance of rate increases is essential to meeting level
		of service standards and will require open and clear dialog
		with the public and decision makers." (p. 105)
		"Per capita water use for single-family residential
		customers has gone down significantly since 1992
		while per capita water demands will continue to decline
		somewhat over time, the overall demands on the
		Portland water system will increase due to population
		growth." <i>(p. 168)</i>
List of	List of	"The List of Significant Projects includes significant
Significa	Significant	sanitary sewer, stormwater management, water, and
nt	Projects,	transportation projects necessary to support the land uses
Projects	December	designated in the Comprehensive Plan." (p. LP-1)
	2018	Adoption of Residential Infill Project plan, code and map
		amendments will require updating this part of the Plan.

Supporti	"Comprehe	"Density reductions have been proposed in locations
ng	nsive Plan	farther from identified Centers and Corridors, particularly
Docume	Update,	in outer East Portland." (p. 6) Down-designations from R5
nts and	Growth	to R7 in the Proposed Plan have slightly reduced the
Maps,	Scenarios	supply of more affordable small lot single family
Growth	Report, July	development." <i>(p. 52)</i>
Scenario	2015″	
s Report		Where are the findings to justify <u>down-zoning</u> , in light of the Project focus on <u>up-zoning</u> existing small lot single family development in much of the east side of Portland? Where is an analysis of the trade-off? Would the Project proposal change if outer east neighborhood lots were not down-zoned? How? Are there Centers and Corridors in outer east Portland where higher density housing and <u>up- zoning</u> is desirable?
	July 2015 Growth Scenarios Report	The Plan estimates Portland's residential capacity at 267,000 dwelling units, <i>more than twice Metro's 2035</i> <i>housing growth forecast</i> of 123,000 households for Portland "70% of this capacity is in mixed-use corridors and neighborhood centersOther areas with high growth capacity [include] the Lents Town Center and <i>some parts of East Portland</i> ." This doesn't appear consistent with the down-zoning of lots in east Portland that is proposed in this Project. "About 11% of the development capacity is in land available for single-family dwelling residential development Portland's predominantly single family residential neighborhoods will see limited new housing development and will remain single-family residential neighborhoods." (emphasis added)(p. 17) How is the Project's proposal consistent with these facts?
Supporti ng Docume nts and Maps, Buildabl e Lands Inventor y,	Buildable Lands Inventory and GIS Model Document	Appendix 1: BLI Model Assumptions, Table 1: Comprehensive Plan and Capacity Assumptions Single Dwelling Zone FAR(Floor Area Ratio) N/A (not applicable)

Buildabl		
e Lands		
Inventor		
у		
Docume		
nts		
Chapter 5 Housing , Middle	<mark>5.6</mark>	"Where appropriate, apply zoning that would allow this within a quarter mile of designated centers, corridors with frequent service transit, high capacity transit stations, and within the Inner Ring around the
<b>Housing</b>		Central City."
Chapter 5 Housing , Impact Analysis	<mark>5.12</mark>	Evaluate plans and investments, significant new infrastructure, and significant new development to identify potential disparate impacts on housing choice, access, and affordability for protected classes and low-income households. Identify and implement strategies to mitigate the anticipated impacts
Chapter 5 Housing , Higher- density housing	<mark>5.23</mark>	Locate higher-density housing, including units that are affordable and accessible, in and around centers to take advantage of the access to active transportation, jobs, open spaces, schools, and various services and amenities
Chapter 5 Housing , Housing preserva tion	5.25	Preserve and produce affordable housing to meet needs that are not met by the private market by coordinating plans and investments with housing providers and organizations.
Chapter 5 Housing Housing Stability	<mark>5.13</mark>	Coordinate plans and investments with programs that prevent avoidable, involuntary evictions and foreclosures.
Chapter 10: Land Use Designa tions What is this		<ul> <li>The goals and policies in this chapter convey the City's intent to:</li> <li>Provide a clear definition of each land use designation.</li> <li>Provide guidance for how to update the Zoning Map and Zoning Code.</li> </ul>

chapter .		
about		
Chapter	<mark>10.1</mark>	The maximum density is generally 2.2 units per acre. The corresponding zone is R20
10: Land		is generally 2.2 units per acte. The corresponding zone is reco
<mark>Use</mark>		
<mark>Designa</mark>		
tions,		
Land Land		
<mark>Use</mark>		
designat		
ions,		
Single		
Dwelling		
Resident		
ial. 3.		
Single-		
Dwelling		
-20.000		
Chanter	10 1	The maximum density is generally 4.4
10. Land	<b>10.1</b>	units per acre. (9,900 sq.ft/unit). The corresponding zone is R10.
Designa		
tions		
Land		
docignat		
ions		
ions,		
Single		
Dweiling		
Resident		
ial, 4.		
Single-		
Dwelling		
<u> </u>		
Chapter	<mark>10.1</mark>	This designation may also be applied in areas where urban nublic services are available or planned, but the development nattern is alrea
10: Land		dy predominantly built-out at 5 to 6 units per acre.(7,920 sq.ft/unit) Single-
Use		dwelling residential will be the primary use. The maximum density is generall
Designa		y 6.2 units per acre. The corresponding zone is R7.
tions,		
Land		
<mark>Use</mark>		
<mark>designat</mark>		
<mark>ions,</mark>		
Single		

Dwelling Resident ial, 5. Single- Dwelling – 7,000		
Chapter 10: Land Use Designa tions, Land Use designat ions, Single Dwelling Resident ial, 6. Single- Dwelling	<b>10.1</b>	It is intended for areas where urban public services, generally including complete local street networ ks and access to frequent transit, are available or planned. Areas within this d esignation generally have few or very minor development constraints. Single-dwelling residential will be the primary use. The maximum density is generally 8.7 unit s per acre. (5,007 sq.ft/unit)The corresponding zone is R5.
Chapter 10: Land Use Designa tions, Land Use designat ions, Single Dwelling Resident ial, 7. Single- Dwelling - 2.500	10.1	This designation allows a mix of housing types that are single-dwelling in char acter. This designation is intended for areas near, in, and along centers and corridor s, near transit station areas, where urban public services, generally including complet e local street networks and access to frequent transit, are available or planned. Areas within this designation generally do not have development constraints. This designation often serves as a transition between mixed use or multi-dwelling designations and lower density single dwelling designations. The maximum d ensity is generally 17.4 units per acre. (2,489 sq.ft/unit) The corresponding zone is R2.5
Chapter 10: Land Use Designa tions, Land	<mark>10.1</mark>	This designation is intended for areas near, in, and along centers and corridors where urban public services, generally including complete local street networks and access to frequent transit, are available or planned. Areas within this designation generally do not have development constraints and may include larger development sites. The maximum density is generally 14.5 units per acre(3,004 sq. ft./unit), but may go up to 21 units per acre(2,071 sq.ft./unit) in some situations. The corresponding zone is R3.

Use		
designat		
ions.		
Dwelling		
Resident		
ial 8		
Dwelling		
<mark>-3,000</mark>		
Chapter	10.1	This designation is intended for areas near, in, and along centers and corridor
10. Lond		<mark>s and</mark>
		transit station areas, where urban public services, generally including complet
Use		e
<b>Designa</b>		local street networks and access to frequent transit, are available or planned.
tions.		Areas
Land		within this designation generally do not have development constraints. The
		maximum density is generally 21.8 units per acre(1,998
Use		sq. 1.7 unit, but findy be as much as 52 units
<mark>designat</mark>		
ions.		
Multi-		
Dweiling		
<mark>Resident</mark>		
ial, 9.		
Multi-		
Dwalling		
Dweiling		
<mark>-2,000</mark>		
<b>Chapter</b>	<mark>10.1</mark>	The scale of development is intended to reflect the allowed densities while b
10: Land		eing compatible with nearby single-dwelling residential. The designation is int
		ended for areas near,
		in, and along centers and corridors, and transit station areas, where urban pu
Designa		uic services, generally including complete local street networks and access to fre
tions,		auent
Land		transit, are available or planned. Areas within this designation generally do no
Use		t have
decignat		development constraints. The maximum density is generally 43 units per acre
		(1,013 sq.ft./unit), but may be as much as 65 units per acre (670
ions,		sq.ft./unit) in some situations. The corresponding zone
Multi-		is R1
Dwelling		
Resident		
ial 10		
iai, 10.		
Multi-		
Dwelling		
-1.000		
Chantor	10.1	This designation is intended for the Central City, Gateway Regional Center, To
	10.1	wn Centers, and transit station areas where a residential focus is desired and
10: Land		

Use diamond	urban public services including access to high-capacity transit, very frequent b
Designa	us service, or streetcar service are available or planned. This designation is int ended to allow high-density multi-dwelling structures at an urban scale. Maxi
tions,	mum density is based on a floor-area-ratio, not on a unit-per-square-foot basi
Land	s. Densities will range from
Use	80 to 125 units per acre(544.5 sq ft/unit to 346
designat	
ions,	
Multi-	
Dwelling	
Resident	
ial, 11.	
High	
Density	
Multi-	
Dwelling	

In addition, we support the letter by Tamara DeRidder as Exhibit A that further addresses this matter.

Respectfully,

Tamara DeRidder, AICP	Ed Gorman
Chair, RCPNA	Vice Chair, RCPNA
1707 NE 52 <sup>nd</sup> Ave.	3016 NE 56 <sup>th</sup> Ave.
Portland, OR 97213	Portland, OR 97213

Exhibit A – Tamara DeRidder, TDR & Associates, testimony to Portland City Council on the Residential Infill Project, dated(??? Pending??)